UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

CITY OF LIVONIA EMPLOYEES': RETIREMENT SYSTEM, On Behalf of Itself: and All Others Similarly Situated,:

Plaintiff,

vs.

WYETH, et al.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:07-cv-10329-RJS

CLASS ACTION

ECF CASE

WAIVERS OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT the defendants Joseph Mahady, Kenneth Martin, Bernard Poussot, Ginger Constantine, and Robert Ruffolo, Jr., have accepted service of Summons and the Consolidated Complaint for Violations of the Federal Securities Laws through their counsel, Lynn K. Neuner. The executed Waivers of Service of Summons for each of these defendants are collectively attached hereto as Exhibit A.

DATED: April 30, 2008

COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP TOR GRONBORG TRIG R. SMITH LAURIE L. LARGENT

> s/ LAURIE L. LARGENT LAURIE L. LARGENT

655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax)

COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP SAMUEL H. RUDMAN DAVID A. ROSENFELD 58 South Service Road, Suite 200 Melville, NY 11747 Telephone: 631/367-7100 631/367-1173 (fax)

Lead Counsel for Plaintiff

S:\CasesSD\Wyeth\NOT00050986.doc

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on April 30, 2008, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the e-mail addresses denoted on the attached Electronic Mail Notice List, and I hereby certify that I have mailed the foregoing document or paper via the United States Postal Service to the non-CM/ECF participants indicated on the attached Manual Notice List.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on April 30, 2008.

s/ LAURIE L. LARGENT

LAURIE L. LRGENT

COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101-3301 Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax)

E-mail:<u>llargent@csgrr.com</u>

Mailing Information for a Case 1:07-cv-10329-RJS

Electronic Mail Notice List

The following are those who are currently on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case.

Tor Gronborg

torg@lerachlaw.com

David Avi Rosenfeld

drosenfeld@csgrr.com,e_file_ny@csgrr.com,amartin@csgrr.com

Samuel Howard Rudman

srudman@csgrr.com,e_file_ny@csgrr.com

Trig Randall Smith

trigs@csgrr.com

Manual Notice List

The following is the list of attorneys who are **not** on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case (who therefore require manual noticing). You may wish to use your mouse to select and copy this list into your word processing program in order to create notices or labels for these recipients

Lynn K. Neuner, Esq. Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP 425 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10017-3954

EXHIBIT A

Tor Gronborg

WAIVER OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS

	(NAME OF PLAINTIFF'S A	TTORNEY O	R UNREPRESENTED I	PLAINTIFF)	
I, Joseph Mahady	(DEFENDANT NAME)		, ackno	wledge receipt of	your request
	summons in the action of		ivonia Employees' l	Retirement System OF ACTION)	v. Wyeth
which is case number	1:07-cv-10329-RJS	on of artificial options of the second options and the second	in the		strict Court
for the Southern	DI		New York	anti-cine s to the second agreement and the second and anti-cine second and anti-cine second and anti-cine second accordance to the second accordance as the second accordance accordance as the second accordance as the sec	Common Management - Applying to the party control of
I have also receive which I can return the s	d a copy of the complaint i signed waiver to you withou	n the action	n, two copies of the	nis instrument, and	l a means by
I agree to save the by not requiring that I (opposed by Rule 4.	cost of service of a summor the entity on whose behal	ons and an	additional copy on additional copy on additional copy of the served with	f the complaint in judicial process ir	this lawsuit the manner
I (or the entity on viginishing of the summons.	whose behalf I am acting) whose behalf I am acting) when the court except for object	will retain tions based	all defenses or obj l on a defect in the	ections to the laws	suit or to the ne service of
I understand that a	judgment may be entered	against me	(or the party on v	whose behalf I am	acting) if an
answer or motion under	Rule 12 is not served upo	on you wit	hin 60 days after	April 17, 2008	AS SENT)
	that date if the request was				,
4/25/0	8 Jun	M	Mer	m_	
(DA y E)	Printed/Typed	_{Name} . Ly	(SIGNATURE) onn K. Neuner, Esq.		***************************************
	As Counsel for	or Joseph M			
		(TITLE)		(CORPORATE DEFE)	VDANT)

Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Costs of Service of Summons

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain parties to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. A defendant located in the United States who, after being notified of an action and asked by a plaintiff located in the United States to waive service of summons, fails to do so will be required to bear the cost of such service unless good cause be shown for its failure to sign and return the waiver.

It is not good cause for a failure to waive service that a party believes that the complaint is unfounded, or that the action has been brought in an improper place or in a court that lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or over its person or property. A party who waives service of the summons retains all defenses and objections (except any relating to the summons or to the service of the summons), and may later object to the jurisdiction of the court or to the place where the action has been brought.

SAO 399 (Rev. 10/95)

TO:

Tor Gronborg

WAIVER OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS

(NAME OF PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY OR UNREPRESENTED PLAINTIFF)				
I, Kenneth Martin (DEFENDANT NAME)	, acknowledge receipt of your request			
that I waive service of summons in the action of	City of Livonia Employees' Retirement System v. Wyeth (CAPTION OF ACTION)			
which is case number 1:07-cv-10329-RJS	in the United States District Court			
for the Southern Di	strict of New York			
I have also received a copy of the complaint in the action, two copies of this instrument, and a means by which I can return the signed waiver to you without cost to me.				
	ons and an additional copy of the complaint in this lawsuit f I am acting) be served with judicial process in the manner			
	vill retain all defenses or objections to the lawsuit or to the ions based on a defect in the summons or in the service of			
I understand that a judgment may be entered against me (or the party on whose behalf I am acting) if an				
answer or motion under Rule 12 is not served upo	on you within 60 days after April 17, 2008 (DATE REQUEST WAS SENT)			
or within 90 days after that date if the request was sent outside the United States.				
4/25/08 John	M. Meller (SIGNATURE)			
	Name: Lynn K. Neuner, Esq.			
u	r Kenneth Martin of			
	(TITLE) (CORPORATE DEFENDANT)			

Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Costs of Service of Summons

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain parties to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. A defendant located in the United States who, after being notified of an action and asked by a plaintiff located in the United States to waive service of summons, fails to do so will be required to bear the cost of such service unless good cause be shown for its failure to sign and return the waiver.

It is not good cause for a failure to waive service that a party believes that the complaint is unfounded, or that the action has been brought in an improper place or in a court that lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or over its person or property. A party who waives service of the summons retains all defenses and objections (except any relating to the summons or to the service of the summons), and may later object to the jurisdiction of the court or to the place where the action has been brought.

SAO 399 (Rev. 10/95)

Tor Gronborg

WAIVER OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS

(NAME	OF PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY C	OR UNREPRESENTED P	LAINTIFF)
I, Bernard Poussot		, ackno	wledge receipt of your request
(DEFI	ENDANT NAME)		
that I waive service of summons i	n the action ofCity of L	ivonia Employees' I	Retirement System v. Wyeth
which is case number 1:07-cv-10	329-RJS	in the	United States District Court
-	(DOCKET NUMBER)	N. N. 1	
for the Southern	District of	New York	Control of the Contro
which I can return the signed wait	ver to you without cost to	me.	is instrument, and a means by
I agree to save the cost of ser by not requiring that I (or the entity provided by Rule 4.		* *	f the complaint in this lawsuit judicial process in the manner
I (or the entity on whose beha jurisdiction or venue of the court ethe summons.			ections to the lawsuit or to the summons or in the service of
I understand that a judgment	may be entered against m	e (or the party on v	whose behalf I am acting) if an
answer or motion under Rule 12 is	s not served upon you wi	thin 60 days after	April 17, 2008 (DATE REQUEST WAS SENT)
or within 90 days after that date if	f the request was sent out	side the United Sta	ites.
4/25/08 (DATE)	- Fym	L.M.L. (SIGNATURE)	m
	Printed Typed Name: L	ynn K. Neuner, Esq	
	As Counsel for Bernard	Poussot of	(
	(TITLE)		(CORPORATE DEFENDANT)

Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Costs of Service of Summons

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain parties to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. A defendant located in the United States who, after being notified of an action and asked by a plaintiff located in the United States to waive service of summons, fails to do so will be required to bear the cost of such service unless good cause be shown for its failure to sign and return the waiver.

It is not good cause for a failure to waive service that a party believes that the complaint is unfounded, or that the action has been brought in an improper place or in a court that lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or over its person or property. A party who waives service of the summons retains all defenses and objections (except any relating to the summons or to the service of the summons), and may later object to the jurisdiction of the court or to the place where the action has been brought.

SAO 399 (Rev. 10/95)

Tor Gronborg

WAIVER OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS

(MAME OF PLAINTIFF S	SATIORNEY OR UNREPRESENTED PLAINTIFF)			
Ginger Constantine	colemonale description of			
(DEFENDANT NAME	, acknowledge receipt of your request			
that I waive service of summons in the action of	of City of Livonia Employees' Retirement System v. Wyeth			
	(CAPTION OF ACTION)			
which is case number 1:07-cv-10329-RJS	in the United States District Court			
for the Southern				
I have also received a copy of the complair which I can return the signed waiver to you with	at in the action, two copies of this instrument, and a means by			
I agree to save the cost of service of a sum by not requiring that I (or the entity on whose be provided by Rule 4.	mons and an additional copy of the complaint in this lawsuit half I am acting) be served with judicial process in the manner			
I (or the entity on whose behalf I am acting jurisdiction or venue of the court except for object the summons.) will retain all defenses or objections to the lawsuit or to the ections based on a defect in the summons or in the service of			
	d against me (or the party on whose behalf I am acting) if an			
answer or motion under Rule 12 is not served u	apon you within 60 days after April 17, 2008 (DATE REQUEST WAS SENT)			
or within 90 days after that date if the request was sent outside the United States.				
	d Name: Lynn K. Neuner, Esq. for Ginger Constantine of (CORPORATE DEFENDANT)			

Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Costs of Service of Summons

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain parties to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. A defendant located in the United States who, after being notified of an action and asked by a plaintiff located in the United States to waive service of summons, fails to do so will be required to bear the cost of such service unless good cause be shown for its failure to sign and return the waiver.

It is not good cause for a failure to waive service that a party believes that the complaint is unfounded, or that the action has been brought in an improper place or in a court that lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or over its person or property. A party who waives service of the summons retains all defenses and objections (except any relating to the summons or to the service of the summons), and may later object to the jurisdiction of the court or to the place where the action has been brought.

%AO 399 (Rev. 10/95)

Tor Gronborg

WAIVER OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS

(NAME OF PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY OR UNREPRESENTED PLAINTIFF)				
I, Robert Ruffolo, Jr. (DEFENDA	NT NAME)	, ackno	wledge receipt of your request	
that I waive service of summons in the			Retirement System v. Wyeth	
which is case number 1:07-cv-10329-1		TCAI HON	JI ACTION)	
for the Southern	District of	New York	CONTRACTOR	
I have also received a copy of the complaint in the action, two copies of this instrument, and a means by which I can return the signed waiver to you without cost to me.				
I agree to save the cost of service by not requiring that I (or the entity on v provided by Rule 4.			-	
I (or the entity on whose behalf I a jurisdiction or venue of the court excepthe summons.				
I understand that a judgment may be entered against me (or the party on whose behalf I am acting) if an				
answer or motion under Rule 12 is not served upon you within 60 days after April 17, 2008 (DATE REQUEST WAS SENT),				
or within 90 days after that date if the request was sent outside the United States.				
4/25/08	Jym .	U.Me	·www	
' (DATE)	nted/Typed Name: $\underline{\underline{L}}$	(SIGNATURE) ynn K. Neuner, Esq		
•	Counsel for Robert F)	
	(TITLE)		(CORPORATE DEFENDANT)	

Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Costs of Service of Summons

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain parties to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. A defendant located in the United States who, after being notified of an action and asked by a plaintiff located in the United States to waive service of summons, fails to do so will be required to bear the cost of such service unless good cause be shown for its failure to sign and return the waiver.

It is not good cause for a failure to waive service that a party believes that the complaint is unfounded, or that the action has been brought in an improper place or in a court that lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or over its person or property. A party who waives service of the summons retains all defenses and objections (except any relating to the summons or to the service of the summons), and may later object to the jurisdiction of the court or to the place where the action has been brought.